

UNITED STATES SEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	ENTOR	A.	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/732,321	01/22/97	BUSUIOC		М	36-966
NIXON & VANDERHYE 1100 NORTH GLEBE ROAD		LM61/0514 7		EXAMINER	
			1	JUNG, M	
8TH FLOOR			į	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON V	VA 22201			2731	6
				DATE MAILED:	05/14/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commons	08/732,321 Busuioc et al.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit Min Jung 273		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address		
Period for Response			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO EXPIRE <u> </u>		
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) d - If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTays, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	,		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/2	12/97		
☐ This action is FINAL .			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
X Claim(s)9	is/are pending in the application.		
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
X Claim(s) 1−9			
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are objected to.		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948.		
 □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on 	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved.		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved.		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved □ disapproved. ejected to by the Examiner.		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved □ disapproved. ejected to by the Examiner.		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ejected to by the Examiner.		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ejected to by the Examiner. r. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prioriter. ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies.	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ejected to by the Examiner. r. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ejected to by the Examiner. r. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ejected to by the Examiner. r. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ijected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu ☐ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ Attachment(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ijected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Page 2

Serial Number: 08/732,321

Art Unit: 2731

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37

CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

In claim 1, lines 5-7, it is not clear what exactly is meant by "data in respect of respective

entities relevant to service provision by means of the network". Further, at lines 8-9, it is not clear

what is "the data made available to the user" and what is meant by controlling the data made

available to the user.

In claim 8, the sentence is tangled, and therefore, unclear in meaning.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

Serial Number: 08/732,321 Page 3

Art Unit: 2731

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by WO 93/16543 (Jonsson).

Jonsson discloses an intelligent network service between a customer of a service and a supplier. Jonsson teaches that data relevant to a plurality of services is made available to the user, and the user can select a service to be provided, with a control function and updating function, and responsive to selection of a service, the selected service is provided to the user. See page 8, lines 8-13, page 8, line 30 through page 9, line 10, page 11, lines 5-22, page 13, line 31 through page 14, line 4, and page 15, line 39 through page 19, line 35.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sharman, SIR H1641, discloses a technique for connecting a mobile end system to a heterogeneous communications network which requires adaptability to different protocols. Wiedeman, US Pat. 5,303,286, discloses a wireless telephone roaming system involving satellites.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Min Jung whose telephone number is (703) 305-4363. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9AM to 5PM.

Serial Number: 08/732,321 Page 4

Art Unit: 2731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham, can be reached on (703) 305-4378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-9051.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

M.JUNG

May 11, 1998

MIN JUNG
PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 2600 2/3/